## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

United States of America	)
v.	) )
TINA CHAVIS	) Case No. 7:16-CR-30-D-4
Defendant	, ·
DETENTION ORI	DER PENDING TRIAL
After conducting a detention hearing under the Barequire that the defendant be detained pending trial.	ail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts
Part I—F	indings of Fact
$\square$ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described	d in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted
of $\Box$ a federal offense $\Box$ a state or local offense	ense that would have been a federal offense if federal
jurisdiction had existed - that is	
□ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. for which the prison term is 10 years or mo	. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) ore.
☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence	ce is death or life imprisonment.
☐ an offense for which a maximum prison ter	rm of ten years or more is prescribed in
•	.*
a felony committed after the defendant had described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C)	been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses, or comparable state or local offenses:
$\Box$ any felony that is not a crime of violence b	out involves:
☐ a minor victim	
☐ the possession or use of a firearm or de	estructive device or any other dangerous weapon
☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. §	2250
☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was comm federal, state release or local offense.	itted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a
☐ (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed since	ce the  date of conviction  the defendant's release
from prison for the offense described in finding	; (1).
	ele presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
Alternativ	ve Findings (A)
$\Box$ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defer	endant has committed an offense
☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten ye	ears or more is prescribed in
□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	

\*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the pre the defendant's appearance and the sa	sumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure fety of the community.
	A	Alternative Findings (B)
□ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defend	ant will not appear.
□ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defend	ant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
Part II—Statement of the Reasons for Detention  I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by		
be be	imposed which would reasonably assure the	t to a detention hearing, there is no condition, or combination of conditions, that called defendant's appearance and/or the safety of another person or the community.  Indition, or combination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably sty of another person or the community.  The lack of stable employment
Part III—Directions Regarding Detention		
in a corre pending order of	ections facility separate, to the extent prapagate. The defendant must be afforded	dy of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement racticable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody d a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On ttorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility marshal for a court appearance.
Date: _	05/04/2016	Feld Judge's Signature
		ROBERT B. JONES, JR., USMJ
		Name and Title